#### EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

US WEST, Inc. Suite 700 1020 Nineteenth Street, NW Washington, DC 20036 202 429-3131

Laura D. Ford Associate General Counsel-Federal Regulatory ORIGINAL FILE

RECEIVED

NOV 1 9 1992

**EX PARTE** 

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

November 19, 1992

Ms. Donna R. Searcy
Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, N.W., Room 222
Mail Stop 1700
Washington, D.C. 20554

RE: CC Docket 92-101/

CC Docket 92-101. Employers' Accounting for Post Retirement Benefits Other Than Pensions

Dear Ms. Searcy:

This letter is submitted in accordance with the Commission's rules governing ex parte presentations. On November 18, 1992, Bill Johnston of U S WEST and I met with Lauren Belvin to discuss issues presented in U S WEST's pleadings in the above-referenced docket proceeding. The attached handouts were provided in the meeting. Please include a copy of this letter in the record in this proceeding.

Acknowledgment and date of receipt of this transmittal are requested. A duplicate letter is attached for this purpose.

If you have any questions, please call me.

lama Lord

Sincerely,

Attachments

No. of Conference of O+1
List A D & & d

RECEIVED NOV 1 9 1992

- Postretirement benefit costs are real costs
- Postretirement benefit costs are outside the LEC's control
- 85% of postretirement benefit costs are not reflected in GNP-PI

- Postretirement benefit costs are real
  - Cost will eventually become cash payments to retirees
  - Non-cash costs are not "unreal" just because cash is paid at a different time
    - •• Depreciation
    - Deferred taxes
    - •• Pension costs

- Exogenous Adjustment Criteria
  - Outside the LEC's control
  - Change not reflected in GNP-PI

- Postretirement benefits liability is beyond the LECs control
  - FASB 106 mandates current recognition of postretirement benefit cost
  - Health care benefits provided to employees for many years
  - Exogenous costs being requested relate to employee services prior to 1993
  - U S WEST's ability to reduce or eliminate benefits is very limited

- Exogenous costs being requested will not be reflected in GNP-PI
  - Godwins Study
  - Assumptions are very conservative
  - Exogenous request reflects 85% of cost

# **Postretirement Benefits**

### **US WEST Price Reductions**

7-1-90 price cap filing < \$76 > million

7-1-92 price cap filing < \$93 > million

< \$169 > million

7-1-90 annual filing < \$226 > million

1-1-91 represcription <\$41 > million

< \$267 > million